Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 230-241 | 2023
www.cfosrjprocedia.usim.edu.my
CFORSJ i-CONF Proceedings

Submission date: 11 Nov 2023 *Received in revised form: 13 Nov 2023* *Acceptance date: 16 Nov 2023* *Available online: 28 Nov 2023*

REVEALING THE NEXUS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF SHARIAH, LAW AND FORENSIC SCIENCE

PENJELASAN HUBUNGAN: KAJIAN KOMPREHENSIF TERHADAP SYARIAH, UNDANG-UNDANG DAN SAINS FORENSIK

[i] 'Atikah Ahmad, [ii] Nadia Murshida Abd Azzis & [iii]* Marina Abu Bakar

[i] Postgraduate Student, Kulliyyah of Shariah and Law, Universiti Islam Antarabangsa Sultan Abdul Halim Mu'adzam Shah (UniSHAMS), 09300, Kuala Ketil, Kedah, Malaysia
[ii iii] Senior Lecturer, Kulliyyah of Shariah and Law, Universiti Islam Antarabangsa Sultan Abdul Halim Mu'adzam Shah (UniSHAMS), 09300, Kuala Ketil, Kedah, Malaysia

*(Corresponding author) e-mail: marinaabubakar@unishams.edu.my

ABSTRACT

Diving deep into the intricate web where Shariah, law and forensic science intersect, this comprehensive review sheds light on the multifaceted relationships that govern our legal and ethical landscape. Within the digital age's ever-evolving dynamics, this study aims to navigate the historical, contemporary and future interactions between Shariah, law and forensic science. This study is a qualitative study and involves the use of secondary data sources along with the content analysis method. By analyzing a wealth of literature, it offers a panoramic view of how Shariah principles have evolved in tandem with the law and forensic methodologies. This study serves as a crucial resource for scholars, practitioners and policymakers seeking a holistic understanding of the harmonious coexistence of tradition, jurisprudence and modern forensic practices. As the nexus between these fields becomes increasingly vital in our globalized world, this study provides a roadmap for informed decision-making and ethical considerations in legal and forensic contexts.

Keywords: *Shariah, Law, Forensic Science, Comprehensive Review, Nexus*

Introduction

In our interconnected and ever-evolving world, the intersection of Shariah, law and forensic science represents a multifaceted web of relationships that significantly influences our legal and ethical landscape. The significance of this nexus lies not only in its historical roots but also in its contemporary relevance within the dynamic context of the Digital Age. The intertwining of Shariah, law and forensic science goes beyond mere coexistence; it shapes the foundations of our legal systems and ethical considerations. Understanding this intricate relationship is crucial for scholars, practitioners and policymakers to navigate the complexities of legal investigations and proceedings.

As we navigate the complexities of the Digital Age, the nexus between Shariah, law and forensic science takes on new dimensions. The advancements in technology, coupled with the globalization of legal frameworks, necessitate a comprehensive exploration of how these fields interact and adapt to the challenges and opportunities presented by the digital landscape. This study aims to delve deep into the historical, contemporary, and future interactions between Shariah, law and forensic science. As the nexus between these fields becomes increasingly vital in our globalized world, this study sets out to provide a roadmap for informed decision-making and ethical considerations in legal and forensic contexts. Through a comprehensive review, this study seeks to contribute to the scholarly discourse surrounding the intricate relationships that govern our legal and ethical landscape.

Literature Review

Historical Interactions of Shariah, Law and Forensic Science

In the historical context, the interaction between Shariah, law, and forensic science can be observed through the establishment of early legal systems in Islamic societies. The integration of Shariah principles into legal frameworks laid the foundation for the coexistence of religious and legal norms. Over time, Shariah principles evolved in response to societal changes, influencing legal practices. For instance, during the Ottoman Empire, the adaptation of Shariah to codified law reflected a dynamic interplay between religious doctrines and emerging legal structures. Examining historical legal and forensic methodologies reveals how early Islamic scholars developed rudimentary forensic techniques. The use of witnesses, oaths, and basic medical examinations in legal proceedings reflects the historical efforts to align Shariah with practical legal and forensic considerations. Previous studies related to historical interactions of Shariah, law and forensic science have been carried out by several previous researchers like Fahmy (1999), Alkahtani et al. (2015), Akçan & Yıldırım (2016), Bamousa et al. (2016), Muhammed & Ali (2017), Ahmad Syukran (2017), Baharuddin et al. (2018) and Baharuddin et al. (2021).

Fahmy (1999) re-evaluates the reform of the Egyptian criminal justice system in the nineteenth century, traditionally seen as a move toward establishing a fair rule of law. The researcher contends that the introduction of forensic medicine during this period was motivated by the necessity to control the population and monitor crime, in addition to borrowing liberal ideas from Europe. Analyzing legal and medical archival materials, the study specifically explores the role of autopsy in the criminal system. It suggests that different groups, including Islamic scholars, French-educated Arabic-speaking doctors, and the mostly illiterate masses, had varying perceptions of autopsy. Contrary to common beliefs, the study concludes that the intent of "modernizing" the Egyptian legal system was not to replace the Shariah but to support and complement it.

A review by Akçan & Yıldırım (2016) provides insights into Wahhabi perspectives on forensic sciences. The author generalizes sectarian approaches to Islamic countries, overlooking variations in the application of Islamic law based on region or sect. However, the article lacks clarity on the sources of Islamic law, crucial for understanding its relationship with modern professional applications. The author argues against autopsy based on misconceptions, but Islamic law, guided by the Qur'an, Sunnah, and *ijtihad*, is dynamic and open to interpretation. Forensic applications, especially lab work, align with Islamic law in most countries. While the author claims the human body is considered sacred, there is no absolute prohibition in Islamic sources against post-mortem investigations. Historical *ijtihadi* decisions permit corpse dissection in certain cases, highlighting the dynamic nature of Islamic law. The

article's sectarian and local approach may not be applicable to all Islamic countries and disregards the dynamic structure of Islamic law in its relationship with modern professional applications.

Although there has been a notable increase in scholarly discussions on Shariah recently, many of these discussions tend to present a distorted image of Shariah. Muhammed & Ali (2017) have investigated the scope and role of Shariah by examining its etymology and historical conceptions in light of Qur'anic verses. Additionally, they explored the intellectual reflections of scholars and exegesis. In contrast to the common perception of Shariah merely as "Islamic law", this research conducted a comparative analysis between Shariah and law, emphasizing the distinctive features of Shariah. The analysis included a discussion of some major higher universal principles of Shariah that appear more relevant to a multi-religious society. The research employed a combined approach of conceptual and comparative analysis, along with philosophical reflections and inter-textual readings of various scholars. The study portrayed Shariah as a significant alternative dynamic system of life that seems more applicable in multi-religious and multi-cultural societies.

The disconnect between religion and science, especially in Shariah literature, has made forensic science seem foreign to Islamic law. Misunderstanding forensic science fundamentals worsens the situation and negatively impacts the perception of Islamic law. Ahmad Syukran (2017) has identified 117 forensic science elements and proposes four key fundamentals: "forensics jurisprudence", "human capital", "forensic analysis", and "accreditation". These fundamentals, when integrated with *al-Qarinah*, prove feasible for realizing *maqasid al-Shariah*, contributing to the elevation of forensic science in Islam. Furthermore, Baharuddin et al. (2021) and Bamousa et al. (2016) both highlight the presence of forensic science elements in prominent Shariah literature, with Baharuddin et al. (2018) further emphasizing the need for a fundamental framework to integrate forensic science with *al-Qarinah*. However, Alkahtani et al. (2015) notes that forensic science is still facing resistance in Saudi Arabia due to its perceived incompatibility with the legal system. These studies collectively underscore the potential for the integration of forensic science and Islamic law, while also acknowledging the challenges that may arise in this process.

Contemporary Dynamics of Shariah, Law and Forensic Science

In the rapidly advancing Digital Age, the intersection of Shariah, law and forensic science undergoes transformative changes. The integration of technology, such as blockchain and advanced data analytics, reshapes how forensic evidence is collected, analyzed and presented in legal contexts. The digital age has significantly impacted the interaction of Shariah, law and forensic science. Ami-Narh & Williams (2008) highlights the challenges in presenting digital forensic evidence in legal proceedings, emphasizing the need for adherence to admissibility standards. Nissan (2015) discusses the role of digital technologies, including artificial intelligence, in the legal profession and law enforcement, with a focus on text mining, argumentation support, and crime-fighting tools. Iqbal et al. (2013) underscores the importance of integrating legal aspects into digital forensics education, particularly in the context of e-crimes. Last but not least, Casey (2014) emphasizes the growing societal impact of digital forensics and incident response, calling for open dialogue to improve methods and tools. These studies collectively underscore the need for a comprehensive approach to integrating digital technologies into the legal and forensic fields, particularly in the context of Shariah.

Examining the current relationships between Shariah, law and forensic science reveals ongoing collaborations and challenges. The ongoing relationship between Shariah, law, and forensic science is complex and multifaceted, with various challenges and opportunities. Roberts (2013) emphasizes the need for effective partnerships between lawyers and forensic scientists, while Al-Dawoody (2017) discusses the management of the dead in Muslim contexts, highlighting the complementarity of Islamic law and international humanitarian law. Baharuddin et al. (2021) and Baharuddin et al. (2019) both explore the integration of forensic science and Islamic law, with the former calling for further research and the latter proposing the development of a *fiqh* forensics fundamental module for Shariah officers in Malaysia. These studies collectively underscore the importance of collaboration and the need for ongoing negotiation and development in this complex field.

Forensic Science Principles and Practices

Forensic science, often referred to as the application of scientific principles in legal investigations, plays a crucial role in uncovering evidence for solving crimes, such as analyzing DNA samples to identify perpetrators. Key forensic disciplines encompass areas like forensic pathology, toxicology, and digital forensics. Each discipline contributes specialized knowledge to the investigative process. Forensic disciplines find diverse applications, such as forensic anthropology aiding in the identification of human remains or forensic accounting unravelling financial fraud schemes. Forensic science significantly contributes to legal proceedings by providing expert analysis and testimony, helping to establish facts and support the legal system's pursuit of justice. Ethical considerations in forensic science involve ensuring the integrity of evidence, respecting privacy and maintaining transparency. For instance, preserving the dignity of deceased individuals during forensic examinations is an ethical imperative.

According to Uzabakiriho (2015), forensic science plays a crucial role in criminal investigations, providing accurate information and evidence that can be used in court. It has the potential to contribute to crime analysis and investigation, but its full integration into these processes is still lacking (Ribaux et al., 2006). The application of forensic science and expertise is essential for international cooperation in the investigation of crimes, with a focus on the role of forensic science in recording traces of crimes and forming legal opinions (Shepitko & Shepitko, 2021). However, the effectiveness of forensic science in criminal investigation is hindered by various constraints, including lack of awareness, resources, and training (Narejo & Avais, 2012).

A range of forensic disciplines and their applications have been explored in the literature. Nissan & Nissan (2012) discusses the use of artificial intelligence in crime scenario modelling and various methods for processing human faces. Kuchta (2000) highlights the growing importance of computer forensics in legal issues. Light & Schwartz (1999) emphasizes the effectiveness of multiple forensic disciplines in criminal investigations, with the psychophysiological detection of deception being particularly useful. Katz & Halámek (2016) provides a comprehensive overview of the state of the art in forensic science, including biological, chemical, biochemical, and physical methods. These studies collectively underscore the diverse and evolving nature of forensic disciplines and their applications.

Forensic science plays a crucial role in legal proceedings, particularly in criminal cases, by providing evidence that can help establish the truth (Iancu, 2019). However, the admissibility and reliability of this evidence can be a point of contention, especially in international criminal proceedings (Klinkner, 2009). To address this, judges are increasingly required to assess the reliability of forensic evidence (Garrett et al., 2022). Despite its importance, there is a lack of comprehensive education on forensic science in law schools, with only a small number of courses offered (Garrett, 2022).

Meanwhile, the ethical considerations in forensic science are seen as complex and multifaceted. Peterson (1989) highlights the need for forensic scientists to maintain high professional and ethical standards, including honesty, technical competence, objectivity, and balanced reporting. However, these standards can be compromised within an adversarial justice system. Ward & Willis (2010) provides an ethical framework for researchers in forensic and correctional domains, emphasizing the need for a nuanced approach to ethical decision-making. Kates & Guttenplan (1983) raises concerns about the availability and neutrality of forensic science services, particularly in relation to their use by law enforcement, prosecution, and defense. Lucas (1989) explores the ethical responsibilities of forensic scientists, noting the potential for conflicts between their professional obligations and the demands of the criminal justice system.

Shariah as a Legal System

Exploring the historical roots and contemporary relevance of Shariah as a legal system reveals its nuanced development and adaptability. This involves delving into its jurisprudential foundations and their application in modern legal frameworks. Shariah, as a legal system, is an all-round framework that serves to maintain law and order in society (Okon, 2013). It is rooted in the Qur'an and Sunnah, and

has evolved through the interpretation and application of these sources by classical Islamic jurists (Baderin, 2017). The system is all-encompassing, regulating both public and private behavior (Alarefi, 2009). Despite its divine origins, Shariah is not a static or undifferentiated system, but rather one that is adaptable and resilient (Hakeem, 2003). In fact, the majority of Muslims globally support the adoption of Shariah as the "official legal system" in their respective countries (Quraishi-Landes, 2014). The Shariah represents a meaningful alternative and dynamic way of life that appears more applicable in diverse, multi-religious, and multi-cultural societies (Muhammed & Ali, 2017). The foundation of Islamic criminal law is firmly based on divine revelations, ensuring the dignity and respect for the values of human life (Alotaibi, 2021).

Shariah's unique features, including its ethical underpinnings and divine origin, distinguish it from secular legal systems. However, challenges arise in navigating its complexities, harmonizing it with diverse legal traditions, and addressing contemporary issues while adhering to its principles. Alotaibi (2021) highlights the execution challenges of Islamic criminal law in developing Muslim countries, emphasizing the need to balance Islamic principles with modern legal systems. Hakeem (2003) underscores the divine origins and moral values of Shariah, advocating for its renewal and the replacement of Western-inspired legal codes. Peled (2009) explores the evolving relationship between Israel and its Muslim minority, demonstrating the potential for mutual understanding despite inherent conflict. Abdelgawwad (2019) further underscores the adaptability and flexibility of Shariah, challenging the notion of a single mode of judicial application. These studies overall underscore the complexity and resilience of Shariah, as well as the need for its harmonization with contemporary legal systems.

Forensic Science in Legal Context

Forensic science plays a pivotal role in legal proceedings, providing crucial evidence for investigating crimes and ensuring justice. However, its integration into the legal context involves challenges related to evidence presentation, admissibility, and overall integration with legal systems. In legal proceedings, the presentation and admissibility of forensic evidence are vital for establishing the credibility and reliability of scientific findings. The forensic expert must effectively communicate complex scientific information to judges and juries. Clear visuals, expert testimonies, and reports are essential for presenting evidence persuasively. For example, in a DNA evidence presentation in a homicide trial, the expert might use visual aids to illustrate a match, along with statistical probabilities, enhancing the evidence's admissibility.

The admissibility of forensic evidence, particularly digital evidence, is a critical issue in the legal system. Burton et al. (2005) and Montasari (2016) both discuss the use of digital evidence in courtrooms, with Burton focusing on the potential of forensic graphics and Montasari exploring the governance of digital evidence in the United Kingdom. Meyers & Rogers (2005) emphasizes the importance of reliability, peer review, and acceptance within the relevant community in determining the admissibility of scientific evidence, including digital evidence. Lillquist (2002) highlights the need for consistent standards in the admissibility of expert evidence, particularly in criminal cases. These papers collectively underscore the need for rigorous standards and considerations in the presentation and admissibility of forensic evidence.

Challenges arise in various forms, including the potential for mishandling evidence, the subjective nature of some forensic disciplines, and the need for continuous technological updates. For instance, challenges in fingerprint analysis may stem from the lack of standardized procedures or the risk of human error. Additionally, issues like contamination, biased interpretation, or outdated techniques can compromise the reliability of forensic evidence.

Integrating forensic science into legal systems faces challenges such as differing standards of admissibility, resistance from legal professionals unfamiliar with scientific methods, and potential conflicts with legal traditions. Some suggestions to address these challenges include legal and forensic

education, standardization of procedures, expert testimony and technological advancements. Initiatives to enhance legal and forensic education can bridge the gap between legal professionals and forensic scientists. Training programs that familiarize legal practitioners with forensic methodologies and principles can lead to better collaboration. Developing standardized procedures and protocols for forensic investigations ensures consistency and reliability. This helps overcome challenges related to the subjective nature of some forensic disciplines and enhances the overall acceptance of forensic evidence in legal settings. Well-qualified expert testimony is crucial. Forensic experts should be well-versed not only in their scientific disciplines but also in presenting their findings in a legal context. Training programs and guidelines can help forensic professionals communicate effectively in court. Lastly, embracing technological advancements, such as advanced DNA analysis techniques or automated fingerprint recognition systems, can address challenges related to outdated methods. This requires legal systems to adapt and accept newer, more reliable forensic technologies.

The challenges associated with forensic evidence are varied. Mercuri (2005) underscores the difficulties in collecting and presenting digital evidence due to the constantly evolving nature of technology. Choo et al. (2017) discusses the challenges and opportunities in cloud forensics, particularly in remote forensic collection from cloud servers. Findlay & Grix (2003) focuses on the use of DNA evidence in criminal trials, emphasizing the need for appropriate reception by trial fact-finders. These studies collectively underscore the complexity and evolving nature of forensic evidence, and the need for ongoing research and innovation in this field.

Advancements in Forensic Technologies

Recent years have witnessed significant advancements in forensic technologies, revolutionizing the landscape of evidence collection and analysis. Examples include the widespread adoption of Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) in DNA analysis, advanced fingerprint recognition systems, and cutting-edge imaging technologies for crime scene documentation. These innovations enhance the precision and efficiency of forensic investigations.

Recent advancements in forensic technologies have significantly improved the field's investigative potential (Fakiha, 2020). In particular, digital forensics has seen a surge in multidisciplinary efforts, addressing challenges in evidence processing and forensic procedures (Mazurczyk et al., 2017). The integration of modern technology in forensic investigations has led to the development of robust scientific measurements and on-site investigations, potentially revolutionizing the role of forensic institutes in the criminal justice system (Kloosterman et al., 2015). Furthermore, the use of 3D imaging technologies for crime scene reconstruction has enhanced the precision and accuracy of mapping, allowing for new analyses and insights (Raneri, 2018).

The integration of these technologies into forensic practices has far-reaching implications for the intersection of Shariah, law, and forensic science. For instance, advanced DNA profiling techniques might raise questions about privacy and consent in Shariah, requiring careful consideration and adaptation of legal frameworks. The implications extend to the admissibility and interpretation of technologically derived evidence in Shariah-based legal systems.

Challenges and Opportunities

Harmonizing Shariah with other legal systems poses both challenges and opportunities. Divergent legal traditions, especially in the context of forensic evidence, may require innovative approaches. For example, finding common ground on the admissibility criteria for technologically derived evidence is a challenge but also an opportunity for legal harmonization. Establishing frameworks that respect both Shariah principles and modern forensic advancements is crucial.

Kamali (2007) proposes a methodology for harmonizing Shariah with civil law, emphasizing the need for coordination and providing methodological guidelines. Foster (2011) explores the enforcement of Islamic commercial law in secular courts, raising questions about its suitability and the appropriateness

of western-style courts. Ahmed (2007) compares the approaches of Pakistan and Afghanistan to Islamic law, tribal customary law, and statutory legal codes, highlighting the complex interactions between these legal systems. Black (2008) discusses the accommodation of Shariah law in Australia, considering the strategies used by Muslim Australians and the case for and against its official recognition. All of these studies underscore the challenges and potential strategies for harmonizing legal systems with Shariah.

Integrating forensic science into Shariah involves addressing challenges related to religious norms and ethical considerations. One example is the integration of forensic evidence in cases involving Hudud punishments. Opportunities lie in demonstrating how forensic science can enhance the accuracy and fairness of legal decisions while adhering to Shariah principles.

The intersection of Shariah, law, and forensic science introduces ethical dilemmas that require thoughtful resolution strategies. However, the resolution of these dilemmas requires a deep understanding of both fields, as well as a commitment to ethical discipline (Nakissa, 2014). This can be achieved through the application of ethical principles from related fields such as medicine, law, and politics, as well as the development of forensic science education and training that aligns with the goals of Shariah law (Downs & Swienton, 2012). For instance, ensuring the ethical use of advanced surveillance technologies in criminal investigations under Shariah principles may involve establishing robust oversight mechanisms and emphasizing the protection of individual rights. Resolution strategies should align with the ethical underpinnings of both Shariah and contemporary legal norms.

Methodology

To comprehensively explore the intricate relationships between Shariah, law and forensic science, a qualitative study design was employed. Qualitative research is well-suited for delving into complex social phenomena, allowing for an in-depth understanding of the subject matter. Through qualitative inquiry, this study aims to uncover nuances, perspectives, and contextual details that may be overlooked in quantitative approaches.

This study relies on secondary data sources to gather a diverse range of information related to the nexus of Shariah, law and forensic science. By drawing upon existing literature, scholarly articles, legal documents and forensic science reports, this study ensures a comprehensive and extensive exploration of the historical, contemporary and future interactions between these fields. The use of secondary data sources facilitates a broad analysis while acknowledging the wealth of knowledge already available.

Content analysis serves as the primary methodological approach in examining and interpreting the collected data. This systematic technique allows for the identification of recurring themes, patterns and relationships within the literature. By categorizing and coding textual data, this study seeks to extract meaningful insights into the evolution of Shariah principles alongside legal and forensic methodologies. Content analysis provides a structured framework for organizing the wealth of information gathered, contributing to a nuanced and holistic understanding of the subject matter.

Results and Discussion

The historical interplay between Shariah, law, and forensic science lays the foundation for understanding their contemporary dynamics. Early legal systems in Islamic societies witnessed the integration of Shariah principles, paving the way for the coexistence of religious and legal norms. The Ottoman Empire, in particular, exemplified the dynamic relationship between Shariah and emerging legal structures. Scholars during this era developed rudimentary forensic techniques, utilizing witnesses, oaths, and basic medical examinations in legal proceedings.

Noteworthy studies, including those by Fahmy (1999), Akçan & Yıldırım (2016), and Muhammed & Ali (2017), shed light on the historical nuances. Fahmy challenges conventional views on the reform of the Egyptian criminal justice system, emphasizing the complementary role of forensic medicine. Akçan

& Yıldırım's study provides insights into Wahhabi perspectives but falls short in acknowledging the dynamic nature of Islamic law. Muhammed & Ali redefine Shariah, portraying it as a dynamic alternative applicable in multi-religious societies.

In the Digital Age, the intersection of Shariah, law, and forensic science undergoes transformative changes with technological advancements. Blockchain, data analytics, and digital forensics reshape evidence collection and analysis. Studies by Ami-Narh & Williams (2008) and Nissan (2015) highlight challenges in presenting digital forensic evidence, emphasizing the need for adherence to admissibility standards. Ongoing collaborations and challenges are evident in works by Roberts (2013) and Al-Dawoody (2017), emphasizing the importance of effective partnerships and negotiation in this complex field.

Forensic science, a cornerstone in legal proceedings, encompasses diverse disciplines contributing specialized knowledge to investigations. The literature emphasizes its pivotal role in providing evidence for establishing the truth. Challenges, such as a lack of awareness and resources, are identified by Uzabakiriho (2015). Despite the importance of forensic science, challenges related to evidence admissibility persist, requiring comprehensive education in law schools.

Shariah, rooted in the Qur'an and Sunnah, represents a dynamic legal system adaptable to diverse societies. Challenges arise in harmonizing it with other legal systems, as highlighted by Alotaibi (2021). The adaptability and resilience of Shariah are evident in studies by Hakeem (2003) and Abdelgawwad (2019), emphasizing the need for a delicate balance between divine origins and contemporary issues.

The integration of forensic science into legal contexts poses challenges related to evidence presentation, admissibility, and overall integration with legal systems. The literature emphasizes the importance of standards and considerations in the presentation and admissibility of forensic evidence. Initiatives such as legal and forensic education, standardization of procedures, and embracing technological advancements are suggested to address these challenges.

Recent advancements in forensic technologies, including Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) and advanced imaging, have transformed evidence collection and analysis. The integration of these technologies into Shariah-based legal systems raises questions about privacy, consent, and the interpretation of technologically derived evidence. The literature calls for careful consideration and adaptation of legal frameworks.

Harmonizing Shariah with other legal systems, especially in the context of forensic evidence, poses challenges but also presents opportunities for innovative approaches. Strategies involving ethical principles, education, and training are proposed to navigate ethical considerations in the use of advanced forensic technologies under Shariah principles.

To bridge the gap between Shariah, law, and forensic science, fostering dialogue and understanding is essential. Establishing platforms for interdisciplinary discussions, involving religious scholars, legal experts, and forensic practitioners, can contribute to a nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities inherent in this intersection.

Future directions should emphasize increased interdisciplinary collaboration among scholars, practitioners, and policymakers. This collaboration can lead to the development of guidelines and protocols that respect Shariah principles while incorporating advancements in forensic science. For instance, collaborative research projects can explore innovative ways to address emerging challenges.

Educational reforms are critical for preparing legal and forensic professionals to navigate the intersection of Shariah, law, and forensic science. Curricula should incorporate interdisciplinary perspectives, ensuring that future practitioners are equipped with the knowledge and skills to work seamlessly within the evolving legal landscape.

In a nutshell, navigating the complexities at the intersection of Shariah, law, and forensic science requires a proactive approach, leveraging advancements in technology, addressing ethical considerations, and fostering collaboration among diverse stakeholders. This multifaceted engagement is crucial for shaping a future where these fields coexist harmoniously, contributing to a more just and informed legal system.

Conclusion

The intersections of Shariah, law, and forensic science reveal a complex and evolving relationship, shaped by historical dynamics and contemporary advancements. Acknowledging the challenges and opportunities in this intricate interplay is essential for fostering collaboration and ensuring the effective integration of forensic science within the framework of Shariah and legal systems. As technological advancements continue to reshape the landscape, an ongoing dialogue and interdisciplinary approach are crucial for addressing ethical dilemmas and navigating the dynamic nature of these intersecting fields.

Conflict of Interest

Authors declares no conflict of interest in publishing this article.

References

Abdelgawwad, O. (2019). Research Handbook on Islamic Law and Society. *American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences*.

Ahmad Syukran, B. (2017). *The Integration of Forensic Science Fundamentals and Al-Qarinah Towards Achieving Maqasid Al-Shari'ah.* Doctoral Theses. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.

Ahmed, F. (2007). Shari'a, Custom, and Statutory Law: Comparing State Approaches to Islamic Jurisprudence, Tribal Autonomy, and Legal Development in Afghanistan and Pakistan. *Global Jurist, 7.*

Ahrens, C. B. (2004). Chechnya and the right of self-determination. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law, 42*, 575-616.

Akçan, R., & Yıldırım, M. Ş. (2016). Forensic medicine and science applications in Islamic law. *Journal Of Forensic and Legal Medicine*, 100(42), 11-12.

Alarefi, A.S. (2009). Overview of Islamic Law. *International Criminal Law Review*, 9, 707-731.

Alkahtani, T., Aljerian, K., Golding, B., & Alqahtani, S. (2015). Forensic science in the context of Islamic law: A review. *Journal Of Forensic and Legal Medicine,* 34, 179-181.

Alotaibi, H. A. (2021). The challenges of execution of Islamic criminal law in developing Muslim Countries: An analysis based on Islamic principles and existing legal system. *Cogent Social Sciences,* 7(1), 1925413.

Ami-Narh, J. T., & Williams, P. A. (2008). *Digital forensics and the legal system: A dilemma of our times.*

Baderin, M. A. (2017). Effective Legal Representation in "Shari'ah" Courts as a Means of addressing Human Rights Concerns in the Islamic Criminal Justice System of Muslim States. In *Issues in Islamic Law* (pp. 397-429). Routledge.

Baharuddin, A. S., Ismail, W. A. F. B. W., Johari, F., & Mas'ad, M. A. (2018). A preliminary framework of forensic science fundamentals based on its elements in shariah manuscripts. *Journal of Fatwa Management and Research (JFatwa)*, 13(1), 76-85.

Baharuddin, A. S., Ismail, W. A. F. W., Mutalib, L. A., Ahmad, M. H., Nasir, B. M., & Harun, M. A. W. (2019). Tinjauan Awal Terhadap Modul Teras Fiqh Forensik Bagi Kegunaan Pegawai-Pegawai Syariah Di Malaysia (A Preliminary Review on Fiqh Forensics Fundamental Module for the Use of Shariah Officers in Malaysia). *UMRAN-International Journal of Islamic and Civilizational Studies*, 6(2-2).

Baharuddin, A. S., Wan Ismail, W. A. F., Abdul Mutalib, L., Ahmad, M. H., & Saqib, L. (2021). Systematic Literature Review of Forensic Science and Al-Qarinah in Prominent Shariah Literature. *INSLA E-Proceedings*, *4*(1), 67-73.

Bamousa, M. S., Al-Fehaid, S., Al-Madani, O., Al Moghannam, S., Galeb, S., Youssef, M., & Kharoshah, M. A. (2016). The Islamic approach to modern forensic and legal medicine issues. *The American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology*, *37*(2), 127-131.

Black, A.N. (2008). Accommodating Shariah Law in Australia's Legal System. *Alternative Law Journal*, 33, 214 - 219.

Burton, A. M., Schofield, D., & Goodwin, L. M. (2005). Gates of global perception: forensic graphics for evidence presentation. In *Proceedings of the 13th annual ACM international conference on Multimedia* (pp. 103-111).

Casey, E. (2014). Growing societal impact of digital forensics and incident response. Digital Investigation. *The International Journal of Digital Forensics and Incident Response*, 11, 1-2.

Choo, K. K. R., Esposito, C., & Castiglione, A. (2017). Evidence and forensics in the cloud: challenges and future research directions. *IEEE Cloud Computing*, 4(3), 14-19.

Al-Dawoody, A. (2017). Management of the dead from the Islamic law and international humanitarian law perspectives: considerations for humanitarian forensics. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 99(905), 759-784.

Downs, J. U., & Swienton, A. R. (Eds.). (2012). *Ethics in forensic science.* Academic Press.

Fahmy, K. (1999). The anatomy of justice: forensic medicine and criminal law in nineteenth-century Egypt. *Islamic Law and Society*, 6(2), 224-271.

Fakiha, B.S. (2020). Technology in Forensic Science. *Open Access Journal of Science and Technology*, 7, 1-10.

Findlay, M., & Grix, J. (2003). Challenging Forensic Evidence? Observations on the use of DNA in certain criminal trials. *Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 14*, 269 - 282.

Foster, N.H. (2011). Encounters between Legal Systems: Recent Cases concerning Islamic Commercial Law in Secular Courts. *Advances in Computers, 2006*, 2-9.

Garrett, B. L., Cooper, G. S., & Beckham, Q. (2022). Forensic Science in Legal Education. *JL & Educ.*, 51, 1.

Hakeem, F. B. (2003). Alternative perspectives on penalty under Sharia: A review essay. *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice*, 27(1), 85-105.

Iancu, E. A. (2019). The Contribution of Forensic Science to Establishing the Truth in Criminal Proceedings. *Athens JL*, 5, 457.

Iqbal, A., Obaidli, H. A., Said, H., & Guimaraes, M. (2013, October). The study of the interrelation between law programs and digital forensics in UAE Academia. In *Proceedings of the 2013 on InfoSecCD'13: Information Security Curriculum Development Conference* (pp. 100-104).

Kamali, M.H. (2007). Shariah And Civil Law: Towards A Methodology of Harmonization. *Islamic Law and Society,* 14, 391-420.

Kates, J. H., & Guttenplan, H. L. (1983). Ethical considerations in forensic science services. *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* 28(4), 972-976.

Katz, E., & Halámek, J. (2016). *Forensic science: A multidisciplinary approach.* John Wiley & Sons.

Klinkner, M. (2009). Forensic science expertise for international criminal proceedings: an old problem, a new context and a pragmatic resolution. *The International Journal of Evidence & Proof,* 13(2), 102-129.

Kloosterman, A., Mapes, A., Geradts, Z., Van Eijk, E., Koper, C., Van Den Berg, J., & Van Asten, A. (2015). The interface between forensic science and technology: how technology could cause a paradigm shift in the role of forensic institutes in the criminal justice system. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,* 370(1674), 20140264.

Kuchta, K.J. (2000). Computer Forensics Today. *Information Systems Security*, 9, 1 - 5.

Light, G. D., & Schwartz, J. R. (1999). The relative utility of the forensic disciplines. *Polygraph,* 28(3), 240-58.

Lillquist, R. E. (2002). A Comment on the Admissibility of Forensic Evidence. *Seton Hall. L. Rev.*, 33, 1189.

Lucas, D. M. (1989). The ethical responsibilities of the forensic scientist: Exploring the limits. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 34(3), 719-729.

Mazurczyk, W., Caviglione, L., & Wendzel, S. (2017). Recent Advancements in Digital Forensics. *IEEE Secur. Priv.*, 15, 10-11.

Mercuri, R.T. (2005). Challenges in forensic computing. *Commun. ACM, 48*, 17-21.

Meyers, M., & Rogers, M. (2005). Digital forensics: meeting the challenges of scientific evidence. In *Advances in Digital Forensics: IFIP International Conference on Digital Forensics, National Center for Forensic Science, Orlando, Florida, February 13–16, 2005 1* (pp. 43-50). Springer US.

Montasari, R. (2016). Digital evidence: disclosure and admissibility in the United Kingdom jurisdiction. In *Global Security, Safety and Sustainability-The Security Challenges of the Connected World: 11th International Conference, ICGS3 2017, London, UK, January 18-20, 2017, Proceedings 11* (pp. 42-52). Springer International Publishing.

Muhammed, M. K., & Ali, M. M. (2017). The shariah and law: An analysis. *International Journal of Law, Government and Communication (IJLGC)*, 2(5), 48-64.

Nakissa, A. (2014). An ethical solution to the problem of legal indeterminacy: Shari'a scholarship at Egypt's al-Azhar. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 20, 93-112.

Narejo, N., & Avais, M. A. (2012). Examining the Role of Forensic Science for the Investigative-Solution of Crimes. *Sindh Univ. Res. Jour. (Sci. Ser.)* Vol, 44(2), 251-254.

Nissan, E., & Nissan, E. (2012). The Forensic Disciplines: Some Areas of Actual or Potential Application. *Computer Applications for Handling Legal Evidence, Police Investigation and Case Argumentation*, 841-989.

Nissan, E. (2017). Digital technologies and artificial intelligence's present and foreseeable impact on lawyering, judging, policing and law enforcement. *Ai & Society*, 32, 441-464.

Okon, E. E. (2013). Islamic jurisprudence and the primacy of shariah. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 3(1), 138-149.

Peled, A. R. (2009). Shari'a under Challenge: The Political History of Islamic Legal Institutions in Israel. *The Middle East Journal*, 63(2), 241-259.

Peterson, J. L. (1989). Symposium: Ethical Conflicts in the Forensic Sciences Introduction. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 34(3), 717-718.

Quraishi-Landes, A. (2014). The Sharia problem with Sharia legislation. *Ohio NUL Rev.*, 41, 545.

Raneri, D. (2018). Enhancing forensic investigation through the use of modern three-dimensional (3D) imaging technologies for crime scene reconstruction. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 50, 697-707.

Ribaux, O., Walsh, S. J., & Margot, P. (2006). The contribution of forensic science to crime analysis and investigation: forensic intelligence. *Forensic science international*, 156(2-3), 171-181.

Roberts, P. (2013). Renegotiating forensic cultures: Between law, science and criminal justice. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*, 44(1), 47-59.

Shepitko, V. Y., & Shepitko, M. V. (2021). The role of forensic science and forensic examination in international cooperation in the investigation of crimes. *Journal of the National Academy of Legal Sciences of Ukraine*, 28(1), 179-186.

Uzabakiriho, A. (2015). The role of forensic science in criminal investigation in Rwanda. *Res J Forensic Sci*, 3, 1-4.

Ward, T., & Willis, G. (2010). Ethical issues in forensic and correctional research. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 15(6), 399-409.